UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SANDRA L. KINNEY, et al., No. C-12-4477 EMC

Plaintiffs,	RELATED TO
v. $\label{eq:bristol-myers} \text{BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY}, \textit{et al.},$	No. C-12-4478 EMC No. C-12-4615 EMC No. C-12-4616 EMC No. C-12-4617 EMC
Defendants.	No. C-12-4619 EMC No. C-12-4633 EMC
AND ALL RELATED ACTIONS.	No. C-12-4641 EMC No. C-12-4642 EMC No. C-12-4803 EMC ORDER STAYING LITIGATION

At a hearing held on November 30, 2012, the Court discussed with the parties whether there should be a stay in the above-referenced lawsuits in light of Bristol-Myers and Sanofi's motion to transfer and consolidate with the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (which was filed on October 15, 2012). See Docket No. 19 (notice). Having considered the parties' positions, as articulated in their joint case management statement and at the hearing, the Court concludes that a stay is warranted. There is a reasonable likelihood that issues currently before this Court (e.g., fraudulent joinder, CAFA jurisdiction, and/or procedural misjoinder) will arise in other Plavix cases filed in other district courts. Moreover, Plaintiffs have not adequately established that they would be prejudiced by any delay resulting from a stay, particularly where the stay is likely to be brief in

PENDING DECISION BY MDL

JUDICIAL PANEL

length.	See Docket No.	24 (CMC St.	at 4) (stating De	efendants' bes	t estimate tha	at the pane	l hearing
will tak	ke place on Janua	ary 31, 2013).					

Accordingly, the Court hereby **STAYS** the litigation in each of the above-referenced actions pending a decision by the MDL Judicial Panel on the motion to transfer and consolidate.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 3, 2012

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge